



**Definition.** A subset  $S$  of a metric space  $X$  is said to be *sequentially compact* if every infinite sequence in  $S$  has a subsequence converging to a point of  $S$ .

In Lecture 24 we proved—or claimed to prove—that a compact subset of a metric space is necessarily sequentially compact. Since there were some minor flaws in the proof given there, we start this time by presenting a suitably modified version of this proof.

**Proposition.** *Let  $C$  be a compact subset of a metric space  $(X, d)$ . Every infinite sequence  $(a_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  in  $C$  has a subsequence that converges to a point of  $C$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $A = \{a_n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$ , the set of points of  $C$  that occur as terms of the sequence. The sequence is infinite, but it is possible that the set  $A$  is finite; if so, there must be at least one  $a \in A$  such that  $a_n = a$  for infinitely many values of  $n$ . That is, in this case there exists an infinite sequence of positive integers  $n_1 < n_2 < \dots$  such that  $a_{n_i} = a$  for all  $i$ . Clearly, the subsequence  $(a_{n_i})_{i=1}^\infty$  of  $(a_n)$  is then convergent, its limit being  $a$  (which is an element of  $C$ ).

We are left with the case that the set  $A$  is infinite. The proposition then guarantees the existence of a point  $c \in C$  that is an accumulation point of  $A$ . Every open neighbourhood of  $c$  then contains a point of  $A$  different from  $c$ . Thus for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a positive integer  $n$  such that  $0 < d(c, a_n) < \varepsilon$ . Let  $\varepsilon_1 = 1$ , and choose  $n_1 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that  $0 < d(a_{n_1}, c) < \varepsilon_1$ . (Note that in Lecture 24 we simply chose  $n_1 = 1$ . But it can easily be seen that for the proof to work it is necessary that  $d(c, a_{n_1}) > 0$ , and there is no guarantee that  $a_1 \neq c$ .) Now define  $\varepsilon_i$  and  $n_i$  recursively for each  $i > 1$  as follows: let  $\varepsilon_i = \frac{1}{2} \min\{d(c, a_n) \mid 1 \leq n \leq n_{i-1} \text{ and } a_n \neq c\}$ , and choose  $n_i$  so that  $0 < d(c, a_{n_i}) < \varepsilon_i$ . Since it is clear that  $\varepsilon_i > 0$ , such an  $n_i$  must exist. Furthermore, since  $d(c, a_{n_i}) < d(c, a_n)$  for all  $n \leq n_{i-1}$  such that  $a_n \neq c$ , it follows that either  $a_{n_i} = c$  or  $n_i > n_{i-1}$ . But since also  $d(a_{n_i}, c) > 0$  we conclude that  $n_i > n_{i-1}$ . Thus  $(n_1, n_2, n_3, \dots)$  is an infinite increasing sequence of positive integers, and so  $(a_{n_i})_{i=1}^\infty$  is a subsequence of  $(a_n)$ . (In Lecture 24 we essentially defined  $\varepsilon_i = \frac{1}{2}d(c, a_{n_{i-1}})$ ; the problem with this is that it does not guarantee that  $n_i > n_{i-1}$ .)

A straightforward induction shows that  $d(c, a_{n_i}) < 2^{-(i-1)}d(c, a_1)$  for all  $i$ , and hence  $d(c, a_{n_i}) \rightarrow 0$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ . That is, the subsequence  $(a_{n_i})_{i=1}^\infty$  of  $(a_n)$  converges to the point  $c \in C$ .  $\square$

The above proposition has shown that, in metric spaces, compact implies sequentially compact. We now set about proving the converse.

Let  $C$  be a sequentially compact set and let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Obviously the collection of open balls of radius  $\varepsilon$  and centre in  $C$  forms an open covering of  $C$ , since each point  $c$  is in the open ball centered at that point. Our first lemma says that this open covering of  $C$  has a finite subcovering.

**Lemma 1.** *Suppose that  $C$  is a sequentially compact subset of the metric space  $X$ , and let  $\varepsilon$  be any positive number. Then there exists a finite set of points  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  of  $C$  such that  $C \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n B(x_i, \varepsilon)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that no such set of points exists. We define an infinite sequence of points  $x_k \in C$  recursively as follows: for each  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , let  $x_k$  be any point of  $C \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} B(x_i, \varepsilon)$ . The set  $C \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} B(x_i, \varepsilon)$  is guaranteed to be nonempty (for each  $k$ ) by our assumption that no finite set of points  $x_i \in C$  exists with  $C \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n B(x_i, \varepsilon)$ . Choosing the points in this way ensures that for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  and all  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k-1\}$ , the point  $x_k$  is not in  $B(x_i, \varepsilon)$ , and therefore  $d(x_i, x_k) \geq \varepsilon$ .

By the assumption that  $C$  is sequentially compact, the sequence  $(x_k)_{k=1}^\infty$  in  $C$  has a convergent subsequence. That is, there exists an infinite increasing sequence of positive integers  $i_1 < i_2 < i_3 \cdots$  and a point  $x$  such that  $x_{i_r} \rightarrow x$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ . It follows that there exists an integer  $N$  such that  $d(x_{i_r}, x) < \varepsilon/2$  whenever  $r > N$ . Now let  $i = i_r$  and  $k = i_s$ , where  $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  are chosen so that  $N < r < s$ . Then

$$d(x_i, x_k) \leq d(x_i, x) + d(x, x_k) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon,$$

contrary to the fact, explained above, that  $d(x_i, x_k) \geq \varepsilon$  for all  $i, k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  with  $i < k$ . So our assumption was false, and the lemma is proved.  $\square$

**Definition.** A set of points  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in C$  with the property that the open balls  $B(x_1, \varepsilon), B(x_2, \varepsilon), \dots, B(x_n, \varepsilon)$  cover  $C$  is called an  $\varepsilon$ -net for  $C$ .

Lemma 1 has shown that a sequentially compact set has a  $\varepsilon$ -net, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

**Lemma 2.** Let  $(U_i)_{i \in I}$  be an open covering of a sequentially compact set  $C$ . Then there exists an  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that for every  $x \in C$  there is an  $i \in I$  for which  $B(x, \varepsilon) \subseteq U_i$ .

*Proof.* Suppose, for a contradiction, that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is an  $x \in C$  such that  $B(x, \varepsilon)$  is not contained in any  $U_i$ . Then, in particular, for each  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  there exists an  $x_k \in C$  such that  $B(x_k, 1/k)$  is not contained in any  $U_i$ . Now because  $C$  is sequentially compact there is an  $x \in C$  and an infinite increasing sequence of integers  $k_1 < k_2 < k_3 \cdots$  such that  $x_{k_n} \rightarrow x$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Since the  $U_i$ 's cover  $C$  there is an  $i \in I$  such that  $x \in U_i$ , and since  $U_i$  is open there exists a  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $B(x, \varepsilon) \subseteq U_i$ .

Since  $1/k_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $d(x_{k_n}, x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , there exists an  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that  $1/k_n < \varepsilon/2$  and  $d(x_{k_n}, x) < \varepsilon/2$ . Now, writing  $k = k_n$ , for all  $y \in B(x_k, 1/k)$  we have

$$d(x, y) \leq d(x, x_k) + d(x_k, y) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{1}{k} < \varepsilon,$$

and so  $y \in B(x, \varepsilon) \subseteq U_i$ . As this holds for all  $y \in B(x_k, 1/k)$  it follows that  $B(x_k, 1/k)$  is contained in  $U_i$ . This contradicts the way the  $x_k$  were chosen.  $\square$

We are now able to complete the proof of the following theorem.

**Theorem.** Let  $C$  be a sequentially compact metric space. Then  $C$  is compact.

*Proof.* Assume that  $C$  is sequentially compact, and let  $(U_i)_{i \in I}$  be an arbitrary open covering of  $C$ . Choose  $\varepsilon$  as guaranteed by Lemma 2: then for all  $x \in C$  there exists an  $i \in I$  with  $B(x, \varepsilon) \subseteq U_i$ . By Lemma 1 there exist  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  and points  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in C$  forming an  $\varepsilon$ -net for  $C$ ; thus we have

$$C \subseteq B(x_1, \varepsilon) \cup B(x_2, \varepsilon) \cup \cdots \cup B(x_n, \varepsilon). \quad (1)$$

By the choice of  $\varepsilon$  we know that for each  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  there is an  $i_k \in I$  such that  $B(x_k, \varepsilon) \subseteq U_{i_k}$ . By Eq. (1) it follows that

$$C \subseteq U_{i_1} \cup U_{i_2} \cup \cdots \cup U_{i_n}.$$

So in the arbitrarily chosen open covering  $(U_i)_{i \in I}$  we have found the finite subcovering  $(U_{i_k})_{k=1}^n$ . Hence  $C$  is compact.  $\square$

To close, we prove a generalization, to the metric space context, of the result that a continuous real-valued function on a closed and bounded interval in  $\mathbb{R}$  is necessarily uniformly continuous.†

**Proposition.** *Let  $X$  and  $S$  be metric spaces, and suppose that  $X$  is compact. Then every continuous function  $f: X \rightarrow S$  is uniformly continuous.*

*Proof.* Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . For each  $x \in X$  there is a  $\delta_x > 0$  such that the following holds: for all  $y \in X$ , if  $d(x, y) < \delta_x$  then  $d(f(x), f(y)) < \varepsilon/2$ . Since each  $x \in X$  is an element of the open ball  $B(x, \delta_x/2)$ , it follows that the family of open balls  $(B(x, \delta_x/2))_{x \in X}$  is an open covering of  $X$ . Since  $X$  is compact, there is a finite subcovering, which we may write as  $(B(x, \delta_x/2))_{x \in Q}$ , the set  $Q$  being a finite subset of  $X$ .

Put  $\delta = \min_{x \in Q} (\delta_x/2)$ . Then  $\delta > 0$  (as the minimum of a finite set of positive numbers is finite), and  $\delta \leq \delta_x/2$  for all  $x \in Q$ . Now let  $y, z \in X$  with  $d(y, z) < \delta$ . Since  $(B(x, \delta_x/2))_{x \in Q}$  is a covering of  $X$ , there exists an  $x \in Q$  such that  $y \in B(x, \delta_x/2)$ . Thus  $d(y, x) < \delta_x/2$ , and it follows that

$$d(z, x) \leq d(z, y) + d(y, x) < \delta + \frac{\delta_x}{2} < \frac{\delta_x}{2} + \frac{\delta_x}{2} = \delta_x.$$

So  $d(f(z), f(x)) < \varepsilon/2$ . Since also  $d(y, x) < \delta_x/2 < \delta_x$  we also have  $d(f(z), f(x)) < \varepsilon/2$ , and therefore

$$d(f(y), f(z)) \leq d(f(y), f(x)) + d(f(x), f(z)) < \varepsilon/2 + \varepsilon/2 = \varepsilon.$$

This holds whenever  $d(y, z) < \delta$ , and since  $\delta$  depends only on  $\varepsilon$  it follows that  $f$  is uniformly continuous, as required.  $\square$

---

† This was not in fact done in the lecture; so its proof will not be considered as part of the course for examination purposes. Nevertheless, the proof provides another good example of a compactness argument.